

Plagiarism Check Report

PlagiarismCheck_iThenticate

ORIGINALITY REPORT

37%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Assaf Ben-Meir, Mushira Aboo-Dia, Ariel Revel, Einat Eizenman, Neri Laufer, Alex Simon. "The benefit of human chorionic gonadotropin supplementation throughout the secretory phase of frozen-thawed embryo transfer cycles", <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2010	476 words — 17%
2	Ragaa Mansour, Nevine Tawab, Omnia Kamal, Yahia El-Faissal, Ahmed Serour, Mohamed Aboulghar, Gamal Serour. "Intrauterine injection of human chorionic gonadotropin before embryo transfer significantly improves the implantation and pregnancy rates in in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection: a prospective randomized study", <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2011	293 words — 10%
3	"Abstracts of the 34rd Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology", <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2018	113 words — 4%
4	"Abstract book of the 31 ESHRE Annual Meeting, Lisbon, Portugal, 14–17 June 2015", <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2015	29 words — 1%
5	"Abstract book of the 30 th ESHRE Annual Meeting, Munich, Germany, 29 June – 2 July 2014", <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2014.	27 words — 1%
6	Xuemei Liu, Ding Ma, Wenjuan Wang, Qinglan Qu, Ning Zhang, Xinrong Wang, Jianye Fang, Zhi Ma,	19 words — 1%

infertility in irradiated rodent testes [5-7]. The deleterious effects of irradiation in biological systems are mainly mediated through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cause lipid peroxidation in the cellular membrane, thereby inducing DNA damage in immature germ cells [8,9]. Some studies reported that suppressed Figure 4. Effects of grazing intensity (LG, light grazing; MG, moderate grazing; HG, heavy grazing) on aboveground biomass. Circles represent mean weighted response ratios with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The number of observations for response variables is included in parentheses. If the 95% CI does not cover the red

2.10. Immunoprecipitation (IP) and Immunoblotting (IB)

MUC1 and Trop-2 were immunoprecipitated from the cell lysates with mouse anti-MUC1-ND and goat anti-Trop-2 antibodies, respectively, and subsequently with PureProteome™ Protein G Magnetic Beads (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA). The immunoprecipitates and a part of cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting and incubation with primary antibodies. The bands were detected with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies and chemiluminescent reagent. Unless otherwise stated, β -actin was used as a loading control, and in some cases the intensity of bands was determined with Image J software (National Institutes of Health). The following antibodies were used as primary antibodies: mouse anti-MUC1-ND, mouse anti-Trop-2, rabbit anti-Sp1 (Abcam, Cambridge), rabbit anti-lamin B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), Armenian hamster anti-MUC1-CD (Lab Vision, Fremont, CA), and mouse anti- β -actin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) antibodies.

embedded in plastic in whole (Technovit7100; Kulzer & Co., Wehrheim, Germany). For histological examination, sections (5 μ m) were obtained at 15–20 μ m intervals and stained with Gill hematoxylin and 2% eosin Y (Muto PC, Tokyo, Japan) for light

Severity/Type of similarity index: High plagiarism

Expert's analysis: The plagiarized sections of the manuscript are color coded and marked with the source no: for your reference. You can make the changes according to the instructions below or avail one of our services for a reduction in the overall plagiarized content.

Considering the high plagiarized score (37% similarity index), there is a high match of the manuscript content with online published articles. Most of these sections are not referenced adequately as well. Please consider reframing the sections highlighted against the source number mentioned on the plagiarized text. At some instances, though the text has been referenced, you must present the ideas in your own words so as they do not appear to be exact matches from any published sources.

Please note that some section of the manuscript appear to have secondary source plagiarism. You are requested to cite the "Article X" against the text where secondary plagiarism was detected.

Groups smaller than 5 words should not be a problem and can be discounted. However, please check any groups of 6 or more words highlighted under this section and revise if necessary

Recommendation: Content Re-writing Service

Enago | Disclaimer: This report was compiled by our expert(s) after careful consideration of the plagiarized sections of the report that have high similarity index. The threshold for plagiarism differs from one journal to other. This report should be read carefully to reduce maximum plagiarism issues in the manuscript before submitting it to a journal.