

Assignment:
TEST-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Structural Review

Overall Manuscript

- This manuscript was written in line with the intended audience.
- The author does not need to make major changes as the overall manuscript follows a logical order.

Title & Abstract

- The title was not entirely informative and concise. We have made necessary revisions to the title.
Comment/Example: Please refer to Remarks 1 and 2 in the main file.
- In addition, the title clearly conveys the aim of the study.
- The manuscript has a suitable abstract with a logical structure.
- The abstract includes the purpose, methods and materials, results, and conclusions appropriately.

Introduction

- The introduction clearly states the purpose and approach of the study.
- In the Introduction, the relevance of the findings is clearly stated.
- In the Introduction, the scope of the investigation is indicated.
- This section clearly states the limits of the problem and investigation.

Materials and Methods

- Appropriate description of the methodology is provided, and it can be reproduced by another investigator.
- The Methods section includes appropriate presentation of the measurement procedures.
- Tests of statistical significance are appropriately conducted. The samples are truly randomized, and the data are statistically significant.
- All calculations are accurate.

Assignment:
TEST-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Results

- The results are correctly stated in only the Results section.
- No discussion is present in the Results section; this section contains only results.
- All the presented data are relevant to the thesis.
- The key results presented in tables and figures are appropriately summarized in the text.

Discussion

- All key results are discussed in light of whether or not they supported the hypothesis, and the discussion is convincing.
- The discussion is of appropriate length.
- The content presented in the Discussion section is appropriate and does not repeat information from the Results section.
- The conclusions are clear and in line with the stated objective.
- All generalizations presented in this manuscript can be justified on the basis of the findings presented.

Peripheral Text Review

Figures and/or Tables

- Figures and/or tables were not provided for editing.

Bibliography

- References were not provided for editing.
- Note that all references cited follow a consistent format.

Assignment:
TEST-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Content, Terminology, Style, and Format Review

Content

- There are no gaps in content in this manuscript.

Terminology

- The terms used in the manuscript are valid in the field.

Style

- The capitalization/italicization/number style is as per the conventions of the subject area.